

## Selection criteria for assessment of applications for 2018 Commonwealth Medical Fellowships tenable in the United Kingdom

	ACADEMIC MERIT (mid-career candidates)	ACADEMIC MERIT (Near end of specialist training candidates)	DEVELOPMENT IMPACT	QUALITY OF APPLICATION
Grading criteria	<p><i>Primary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Career progression to date</li> <li>• Referees' reports</li> <li>• Publications</li> </ul> <p><i>Secondary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Academic record</li> <li>• Other relevant academic achievements, e.g. research grants</li> <li>• Academic prizes or awards</li> </ul>	<p><i>Primary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Career progression to date</li> <li>• Referees' reports</li> <li>• Publications</li> </ul> <p><i>Secondary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Academic record</li> <li>• Other relevant academic achievements, e.g. research grants</li> <li>• Academic prizes or awards</li> </ul>	<p>Extent to which the candidate has shown that the proposed work will have a positive impact on 'development' with evidence:</p> <p><i>Primary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the proposed training meets a 'local' need; on how widely available the clinical practice is in the home country</li> <li>• Expected outcomes and the projected timeframe leading to applied development</li> <li>• The way and extent to which the candidate proposes to apply their new skills</li> <li>• That the acquired skills will alter clinical practice</li> <li>• That the application addresses a condition that has stigma attached to it in the home country</li> </ul> <p><i>Secondary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Past commitment to 'development' agendas through voluntary work or leading or participating in relevant projects or programmes.</li> <li>• Likely impact and relevance to field</li> <li>• Extent to which the plan of study fits well with the career progression and strengths of the candidate.</li> <li>• Extent of the benefit: how the impact of the potential benefits of the work might be measured (e.g. a typical beneficiary from the study described, or potential number of beneficiaries from the study/research)</li> <li>• Reference to the selected CSC theme</li> </ul>	<p><i>Primary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extent to which the work programme has been well researched and a suitable host identified</li> <li>• Statement of what the candidate wants to learn</li> <li>• Potential for future publication, dissemination, ongoing collaboration, or application of skills</li> </ul> <p><i>Secondary indicators</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feasibility of project completion within the tenure of the award</li> <li>• Likely value added to past experience of candidate</li> <li>• Degree of innovation and clarity of presentation</li> </ul>