Grade	ACADEMIC MERIT	QUALITY OF RESEARCH PROPOSAL (<i>Doctoral</i>)	QUALITY OF STUDY PLANS (Masters)	DEVELOPMENT IMPACT
	Primary Indicators	Primary Indicators	Primary Indicators	Primary Indicators
Grading criteria	 Examination results. Academic transcripts. Tutors' and referees' reports. Secondary Indicators Academic prizes or awards. Other relevant academic achievements. Publications, where appropriate. 	 Clear abstract of what the candidate wants to research Extent to which the work programme has been well researched (including references to key literature); and the extent of engagement with the host supervisor Quality of the research proposal, including innovation and relevance to current research in the chosen field and to development policy Secondary Indicators Clarity of expression. Feasibility of proposed research Likelihood of completion within three years For Split-Site Awards: Relevance of work in UK to PhD. 	Statement of what the candidate wants to learn and how it relates to their objectives Extent to which the proposed course and institution of study have been well researched (including specific options and dissertation topics, where appropriate) and presented. Secondary Indicators Existing offer of admission to the course Clarity of presentation	 Extent of the need: how the plan of study/research relates to development in the sector and country Expected outcomes, the projected timeframe to achieve them and potential beneficiaries The way and extent to which the candidate proposes to apply new skills and qualifications; how does this relate to development Secondary Indicators Reference to a national development priority or capacity shortage Reference to the selected CSC theme Extent of the benefit: how the impact of the work might be measured Past demonstrable commitment to 'development' agendas through voluntary work.